



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,401	09/12/2005	Clifford Charles Shone	MSQ01-003-US	2849
43320	7590	07/16/2009		
EVAN LAW GROUP LLC				
600 WEST JACKSON BLVD., SUITE 625				
CHICAGO, IL 60661				
EXAMINER				
GANGLÉ, BRIAN J				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1645				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/16/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/521,401

**Applicant(s)**

SHONE ET AL.

**Examiner**

Brian J. Gangle

**Art Unit**

1645

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Brian J. Gangle.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Paul Rauch.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 14 July 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 62 and 63.

Identification of prior art discussed: Shone et al., McKerracher et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Possible claim amendments were discussed. Inclusion of the limitations of claims 62 and 63 in claim 52, with appropriate language to avoid 112/2 issues was discussed. In addition, Applicant asserts that McKerracher teaches away from the instant invention, thus obviating the 103 rejection of claims 62-63. Said amendment will likely be entered after-final as no new search issues will be raised.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Brian J. Gangle/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1645

/Robert B Mondesi/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1645